The Injury Risk from Objects Impacted Before and During Rollovers

Kennerly Digges, The George Washington University Ana María Eigen, NHTSA

Requirements for a Crash Severity Metric

- Measurable from post-crash data
- Related to crash energy
- Injury rate relating to metric

Initial Data Sources

- NASS/CDS
- Years: 1995 2004
- Vehicle Classes (all available model years)
 Passenger Cars
 - o SUVs
 - o Minivans
 - o Pickups
- Belted Front Seat Occupants
- Age 12+ Years Old

Definition of Vehicle Inversion

- Frequency that the vehicle roof <u>faces</u> the ground (May or may not actually impact)
- Vehicle Inversions to quarter turns
 o 0 vehicle inversions = 1 quarter turn
 - o 1 vehicle inversion = 2, 3, 4, or 5 quarter turns
 - o 2 vehicle inversions = 6, 7, 8, or 9 quarter turns
 - o 3+ vehicle inversions = 10+ quarter turns

For Belted, Not-Ejected Front Seat Occupants

Examine Single Vehicle Rollovers (with no Planar Impacts prior to Rollover)

Belted – Non Ejected Single Vehicle Front Seat Occupants 12+ and MAIS 3+ Injuries by Nr Quarter Turns – Cumulative Percentage based on Weighted Data



2nd vehicle inversion increases injury rate

Belted – Not Ejected Occupants Single

Vehicle based on Weighted Data



48% MAIS 3+F in rollovers with more than 1 vehicle inversion

Number of inversions is a good severity measure for belted occupants (Not-ejected in Single-vehicle Crashes)

Observations

The number of vehicle inversions is a good severity metric for grouping quarterturns

Applicable to belted occupants in single vehicle rollovers without planar impacts

The Challenges of Multi-impact Rollovers

How do you group multi-impact rollovers?
 Multi-vehicle crashes; impacts with fixed objects

Which multi-impacts have higher risks?
 Not all planar impacts contribute to the injuries

NASS/CDS Classification by Planar Crash Severity & Roll Type

- Planar crash severity <u>extent of damage</u> & <u>delta-v</u>
 - Minor
 - Moderate
 - Severe
- Classification of <u>rollover type</u> by:
 - o Rollover only
 - o Rollover followed by impact
 - o Non-fixed object impact prior to rollover
 - o Fixed object impacto prior to rollover

Research Question

How to combine

- ∧ 3 categories of damage,
- ▲ 4 categories of impact types

With

- - Combine fixed & non-fixed object impacts
 Combine all other single vehicle rollovers
 Combine moderate and severe damage

Research Approach

- Look at:
 - o Distribution of MAIS 3+F injured
 - Rate of MAIS 3+ injured per 100 exposed to the same crash type and severity
 - o Examine belted front seat adults

Injuries by Rollover Type and Damage Extent

Planar Damage	Distribution of MAIS 3+ (%)		
Extent	Roll 1st	Obj 1st	Total
Minor & Moderate	28.2%	38.7%	66.8%
Severe	10.9%	22.3%	33.2%
Total	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
Planar Damage	Injury Rate per 100 Exposed		
Extent	Roll 1st	Obj 1st	Total
Minor & Moderate	2.34	2.88	2.63
Severe	3.39 (10.26	6.16
Total	2.56	3.91	3.24

Obj 1st– Impact with fixed or non-fixed object prior to roll ¹³

Observations

- Crashes with <u>severe</u> planar damage have much higher injury rates than all other rollovers (22.3%).
- These crashes should be separated from the others when considering the injury risk associated with the rollover.

Statistical Significance of Metrics

For the remaining rollovers (77.7%) the relationship between the <u>number of vehicle</u> <u>inversions</u> and the presence of MAIS 3+ injuries produced a **p** value of .022

Injury rate related to vehicle inversions

Conclusions Disaggregation of Rollovers for Severity

(1) Rollovers preceded by impacts with fixed and non-fixed objects and with severe vehicle damage (22.3% of MAIS 3+);
(2) All other rollovers, separated by 0, 1, and 2+ vehicle inversions (77.7% of MAIS 3+) The authors wish to thank the Santos Family Foundation for the funding support that led to this research

The End